arXiv:1603.01156v1 [math.AP] 3 Mar 2016

A diffusion driven curvature flow

E. Baspinar* G. Citti†

1 Introduction

Geometrical flow is the evolution of a surface $\Sigma_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ whose points move with speed equal to a function of the mean curvature and direction normal to the evolving surface $\Sigma_t \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. In particular mean curvature flow has been studied by several authors such as Altschuler-Grayson [1], [14], Gage-Hamilton [18], Grayson [22] and Huisken [23] who exploited parametric methods of differential geometry. For an overview on other geometrical flows we refer to [19, 20, 29].

However the parametric methods were insufficient if singularities occur during mean curvature flow. In order to handle such difficulties Brakke [6] employed varifold approach via geometric measure theory and provided weak solutions to the mean curvature flow. Furthermore, Osher-Sethian [31] used level set approach in numerical studies and later Evans-Spruck [17] and Chen-Giga-Goto [10] extended level set approach in combination with the theory of viscosity solutions in order to provide generalized weak solutions to mean curvature evolution PDE.

Here we will be interested in motion by curvature of surfaces which are expressed as a graph $x = (x', \gamma(x')) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of a smooth function $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$. In this case the curvature is expressed as

$$K = \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla\gamma}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\gamma|^2}}\right).$$

A special class of curvature equations, which contains the motion by curvature is

$$\partial_t\gamma = F(|\nabla\gamma|^2+1)K(\gamma), \tag{1}$$

for a suitable smooth function F. In the special case of mean curvature flow $F(1+|\nabla\gamma|^2) = (1+|\nabla\gamma|^2)^{1/2}$.

Bence-Merriman-Osher [30] provided a numerical algorithm which expresses the motion by curvature as a two step procedure with diffusion and recovery of the surface. They started with a surface Σ_0 which was the boundary of a set C_0 and applied heat diffusion to the characteristic function χ_{C_0} of the set C_0 for a fixed interval of time $[0,T]$:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty) \\ u = \chi_{C_0} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t=0\}. \end{cases}$$

*University of Bologna, Department of Mathematics.
†University of Bologna, Department of Mathematics.

At time $T > 0$ they recovered a new set as

$$C_1 = H(T)C_0 \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ u(x,T) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}. \tag{2}$$

Applying iteratively this procedure, Bence-Merriman-Osher generated a sequence of sets (C_j) and conjectured in [30] that their boundaries Σ_j evolved by mean curvature flow. The convergence of the algorithm to mean curvature flow was proven by Evans [16], Barles-Georglin [4], Ishii [24], Ishii-Pires-Souganidis [26], Vivier [36], Leoni [28] and Goto-Ishii-Ogawa [21].

In his proof, Evans applied a level set approach. The sets C_j were identified with sub-level sets of functions f_j, and expressed as $C_j = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ f_j(x) \geq \lambda \geq 0\}$. Accordingly the operator H in (1) is rewritten as an operator acting on continuous functions. Then with general instruments of nonlinear semigroup theory, he proved that for every f_0 in the domain of the curvature operator and for every $t \geq 0$, the iterative application of the algorithm, converges to the solution of mean curvature flow. Formally, there exists the limit

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} H\left(\frac{t}{j}\right)^j f_0,$$

and it coincides with the motion by curvature of the level sets of the function f_0. The proof was extended to motion of graphs by curvature in Carnot groups in [9].

A generalization of Bence-Merriman-Osher algorithm was proposed in [11]. This version of the algorithm was inspired by the behavior of simple cells of the visual cortex, and their ability of completion of corrupted parts of images. In the original version, this alogrithm allows a surface and a density function defined on it to evolve at the same time. Calling u the evolution in time of a measure concentrated on the surface, they defined a new surface as the zero level-set of the gradient of u along normal direction. The authors proposed that $\Sigma_j(t/j)$ converges to a solution of the mean curvature flow, and provided a local approximation result. However a complete proof is still missing.

Hence we modify the algorithm of [11], introducing a geometrical correction in the initial datum, and we provide a complete proof of its convergence. Motivated by the applied problem we consider evolution of surfaces which are graphs given by

$$\Sigma_t = (x', \gamma(x')) \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We first apply heat diffusion in an interval $[0, T]$ of time

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty) \\ u =< \nu, r > \delta_{\Sigma_0} \quad \text{on } \Sigma_0 \times \{t = 0\}, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

and then define the new surface at $t = T$ as the zero level set of the gradient along some direction via

$$\Sigma_1 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ \nabla_r u(x,t) = 0\}, \tag{4}$$

where r is a fixed unit vector. If $r = e_n$, the last element of the canonical basis, we can prove that Σ_1 is the graph of a new function $\gamma = H(t)f_0$. Then the same procedure can be iterated.

We provide here a complete proof of the convergence of this algorithm to the solution of the curvature flow:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \gamma - \frac{<r,e_n>}{<\nu_{s_0},e_n><\nu_{s_0},r>} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\gamma_{x_i}\gamma_{x_j}}{1+|\nabla\gamma|^2} \right) \gamma_{x_i x_j} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Sigma_t' \times (0,T] \\ \gamma = f_0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_0' \times \{t=0\}, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where prime denotes the first $(n-1)$ components of the corresponding terms here and everywhere it appears from now on.

Precisely, in the special case where $r = e_n$ our main result can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *If f_0 is a continuous and periodic function, and γ is the unique solution to (1), with $F(1+|\nabla\gamma|^2) = (1+|\nabla\gamma|^2)^{3/2}$ and initial datum f_0, then*

$$\gamma(x',t) = \lim_{j\to\infty} H\Big(\frac{t}{j}\Big) f_0, \quad \textit{uniformly for } x' \in \Sigma_t' \textit{ and } t \geq 0 \textit{ in compact sets.}$$

The peculiarity of the algorithm proposed in [11] relies on the fact that it models the behavior of the cells of the visual cortex, and it can be used to implement a surface completion algorithm. Both those properties are preserved in our algorithm (as explained in (1) and (1)) through the geometric modification on the initial function. In addition, a minimization in a fixed direction is simpler to implement than the minimization in the normal direction. Furthermore the special case with $r = e_n$ is particularly important since it complies with the direction in which the graph function is defined, thus with orientation selectivity of simple cells as described by the visual cortex model. The proof of convergence of our algorithm is partially inspired by the proof of Evans [16], but we deeply simplify it, since we study in a graph setting.

In section 2 we recall the main instruments of nonlinear semigroup theory necessary for the proof of Theorem 1.1, and the weak definition of viscosity solution of (1). In particular we will see a general definition of the curvature operator

$$A(\gamma) = F(1+|\nabla\gamma|^2)K$$

.

In section 3 we show that the operator H is contractive.

In section 4 we study the local behavior of our algorithm, showing that each point of the surface moves in normal direction with a speed equal to $A(\gamma)/(1+|\nabla\gamma|^2)$.

Proofs of sections 3 and 4 are the novel parts of the paper, and they are more delicate than the previous ones of Evans [16], since the initial datum is given only on a surface. Indeed Citti-Sarti surface completion model [11] motivates the choice of the geometrically modified density function $< \nu, r > \delta_{\Sigma_0}$ on the surface Σ_0 as the initial function.

Finally in section 5 we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1, applying a general theorem of Brezis and Pazy [7], which is given as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that there exists a family of contractive operators $\{H(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ satisfying*

$$(I+\lambda A)^{-1} f = \lim_{t\to 0^+} \Big(I + \lambda t^{-1}(I - H(t)) \Big)^{-1} f, \tag{6}$$

for every $f, g \in B$ and $\lambda > 0$. Then for every $f \in \bar{D}(A)$ and $t \geq 0$, one has:

$$M(t)f = \lim_{j\to\infty} H\left(\frac{t}{j}\right)^j f, \text{ uniformly for } t \text{ in compact sets,}$$

where $M(t)f$ is the semigroup generated by A (see the definition in Theorem 2.1 below).

2 Nonlinear semigroups and curvature flow of graphs

Definition 1. *Let B be a Banach space, and A a $B-$valued nonlinear operator with domain $D(A) \subset B$. We say that $-A$ is $m-$dissipative if*

- *$R(I + \lambda A) = B$ for every $\lambda > 0$,*
- *its resolvent $J_\lambda = (I + \lambda A)^{-1}$ is a single-valued contraction.*

For non dissipative operators A it is possible to apply the fundamental generation theorem of Crandall and Liggett [13, Theorem I, p.266] which gives a weak definition of solution to evolution equations in the setting of a nonlinear semigroup:

Theorem 2.1. *If A is non dissipative operator on a Banach space B, then for all $f \in B$ the limit*

$$M(t)(f) := \lim_{j\to\infty, \lambda j\to t} (I + \lambda A)^{-j} f,$$

exists locally uniformly in t. This limit is called nonlinear semigroup solution generated by A.

From now on B will denote the space of periodic α-Hölder continuous functions and $(B, ||\cdot||)$ will be the Banach space obtained by endowing B with the sup norm $||\cdot||$. Then Schauder theory [32, 33] and Sobolev embedding theorems [34, 35] guarantee for a choice of $f \in L^p$ the existence and uniqueness of $W^{2,p}$ solutions to (1) as long as $< r, e_n > \neq 0$.

Definition 2. *A continuous function $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a weak sub-solution (resp. a super-solution) of*

$$\gamma(x') - \lambda \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\gamma_{x_i}(x')\gamma_{x_j}(x')}{1 + |\nabla\gamma(x')|^2}\right)\gamma_{x_i x_j}(x') = f(x'),$$

in $\mathbb{R}$ if for every $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and smooth $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma - \phi$ has a maximum (resp. a minimum) at x' one must have

$$\gamma(x') - \lambda \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\phi_{x_i}(x')\phi_{x_j}(x')}{1 + |\nabla\phi(x')|}\right)\phi_{x_i x_j}(x') \leq (resp.\ \geq)\ f(x').$$

Solutions are functions which are simultaneously super-solutions and sub-solutions.

Definition 3. *We say that $\gamma \in B$ belongs to the domain of A if there exists $f \in B$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that γ is a weak solution (in the sense of Theorem 2.1) of*

$$\gamma - \lambda \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}(\nabla\gamma)\gamma_{x_i x_j} = f, \text{ where } a_{ij}(\nabla\gamma) := \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\gamma_{x_i}\gamma_{x_j}}{1+|\nabla\gamma|^2}\right)$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. In this case we will write

$$(I + \lambda A)\gamma = f. \tag{7}$$

Clearly A is defined in a dense set of B, and as being argued in [16, Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.5]), it is possible to show that A is non dissipative and that its generated weak semigroup solution coincides with the viscosity solution to (1) (see [5, 12, 15, 16, 25, 27] for details).

3 Properties of operator H

In this section we formally define the operator H mentioned in the introduction, and we prove that it is contractive.

We assume that the initial surface Σ_0 is the graph of some smooth function γ, and evolve the measure $u_0 =< \nu, r > \delta_{\Sigma_0}$ for an interval of time $[0, T]$. Then the solution of (1) can be written as

$$u(s,t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_{\Sigma_t} \sqrt{4\pi t}\, \mathrm{e}^{-|x-s|^2/4t} u_0(x) d\sigma_t, \tag{8}$$

where $d\sigma_t$ denotes the surface measure element on Σ_t.

If $f_0 \in B$, we send its graph Σ_0 to a new set defined via $\Sigma_t = \Big(s', \big(H(t)f_0\big)(s')\Big) = (s', \gamma^t(s'))$ with smooth function γ^t. In order to prove that H induces a flow on B, we need to show that Σ_t is the graph of a periodic, α-Hölder continuous function γ^t:

Lemma 3.1. *Let u be the function defined as in (3) and $f_0 \in B$. Then for every $s' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and for every $t > 0$ there exists a unique value $\gamma^t(s')$ such that*

$$u_{x_n}(s', \gamma^t(s'), t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_{x_n x_n}(s', \gamma^t(s'), t) < 0.$$

As a consequence, for any $t > 0$ there exists a function $\gamma^t \in B$ such that

$$\Sigma_t = (s', (H(t)f_0)(s')) = (s', \gamma^t(s')).$$

Proof. We want to compute the maximum of u in the vertical direction e_n via

$$u_{x_n}(s,t) = 0,$$

and show that

$$u_{x_n x_n}(s,t) < 0,$$

where the gradient vanishes. Arguing as in Theorem 4.1 we find:

$$u_{x_nx_n}(s,t) = -\frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{(n-1)/2}}\int\limits_{\Sigma_t}\Big(1-\frac{(\gamma(x')-tv_{s_0}\nu_n)^2}{2t}\Big)\mathrm{e}^{-|x-tv_{s_0}\nu_{s_0}|^2/4t}u_0(x)d\sigma_x$$

$$= -\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\Big(1-\frac{\big(\gamma(t^{1/2}(U^{-1}z)')\big)^2}{2t}\Big)\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/4}|U^{-1}|\,dz' + O(\mathrm{e}^{t^{3/2}})$$

$$= -\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\Big(1-\frac{\sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\gamma_{x_i}(0')U^{-1}_{ih}z_h\gamma_{x_j}(0')U^{-1}_{jk}z_k}{2}\Big)\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/4}|U^{-1}|\,dz' + O(\mathrm{e}^{t^{3/2}}).$$

As in Theorem 4.1 we consider $h=k$. Then we obtain:

$$u_{x_nx_n}(s,t) = -\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/4}|U^{-1}|\,dz' + \frac{\sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\gamma_{x_i}(0')U^{-1}_{ih}\gamma_{x_j}(0')U^{-1}_{jh}}{2}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}z_h^2\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/4}|U^{-1}|\,dz' + O(\mathrm{e}^{t^{3/2}}).$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}z_h^2\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/4}dz' = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/4}dz',$$

and also $\sum\limits_{h=1}^{n-1}U^{-1}_{ih}U^{-1}_{jh} = g^{ij}$, resulting in

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\gamma_{x_i}(0')U^{-1}_{ih}\gamma_{x_i}(0')U^{-1}_{jh} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1}\Big(\delta_{ij}-\frac{\gamma_{x_i}(0')\gamma_{x_j}(0')}{1+|\nabla\gamma(0')|^2}\Big)\gamma_{x_i}(0')\gamma_{x_j}(0') = \frac{|\nabla\gamma(0')|^2}{1+|\nabla\gamma(0')|^2}.$$

We conclude that

$$u_{x_nx_n}(s,t) = -\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\frac{1}{1+|\nabla\gamma(0')|^2}\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/4}|U^{-1}|\,dz' < 0.$$

□

Remark. *For every fixed s and $t>0$ we have proven that $u_{x_n}(s',\cdot,t)$ vanishes only at the point $\gamma^t(s')$. Then note that*

$$u_{x_n}(s',q,t) < 0 \text{ for } q > \gamma^t(s') \text{ and } u_{x_n}(s',q,t) > 0 \text{ for } q < \gamma^t(s').$$

Theorem 3.2. *For each $t \geq 0$ the flow $H(t) : B \to B$ just defined has the following properties*

(1) If C is a real constant, then $H(t)(\gamma + C) = H(t)\gamma + C$,

(2) If $\gamma \leq \mu$ then $\gamma^t = H(t)\gamma \leq H(t)\mu = \mu^t$,

(3) $H(t)$ is a contraction on B, i.e.,

$$||H(t)\gamma - H(t)\mu|| \leq ||\gamma - \mu||.$$

Proof. Assertion (1) follows from the definition. For assertions (2) and (3), consider the evolution problem given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t = \Delta u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) \\ u =< \nu, r > \delta_{\Sigma_0} \quad \text{at time } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us call u_γ and u_μ the solutions with initial datum defined by the graphs of γ and μ, respectively. Hence

$$u_\gamma(s,t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \int\limits_{\Sigma'_t} (x' - s', \gamma(x') - s_n) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|x'-s', \gamma(x')-s_n|^2}{4t}} dx',$$

and $u_\mu(s)$ has a similar expression in terms of μ. Note that the function

$$(\gamma - s_n)\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|\gamma - s_n|^2}{t}}$$

decreases as a function of γ for $t > 0$ small. It follows that if $\gamma \leq \mu$ and $\gamma^t(s')$is the function defined in Lemma 3.1, then

$$0 = u_\gamma(s', \gamma^t(s')) \geq u_\mu(s', \gamma^t(s')).$$

This implies that $\gamma^t = H(t)\gamma \leq H(t)\mu = \mu^t$ from which assertion (2) follows. We remark that comparison principle for intrinsic functions μ and γ becomes valid as a direct consequence of assertion (2).

Assertion (3) follows from assertions (1) and (2). Let us choose s' such that

$$H(t)\gamma(s') - H(t)\mu(s') > ||H(t)\gamma(s') - H(t)\mu(s')|| - \epsilon,$$

for each $\epsilon > 0$ and call

$$H(t)\gamma(s') = \alpha, \quad H(t)\mu(s') = \beta.$$

By assertion (2) we have

$$H(t)\gamma(s') - H(t)(\mu - \beta + \alpha - \epsilon)(s') > 0,$$

and it implies by assertion (1) that there exists a point y such that

$$\gamma(y') - (\mu(y') - \beta + \alpha - \epsilon) > 0. \tag{9}$$

Finally (3.2) results in, by definitions of α and β, that

$$||H(t)\gamma - H(t)\mu|| < H(t)\gamma(s') - H(t)\mu(s') + \epsilon < \gamma(y') - \mu(y') + 2\epsilon \leq ||\gamma - \mu|| + 2\epsilon,$$

from which assertion (3) follows. □

4 Local properties of the evolution

In this section we prove that under the action of the proposed algorithm, each point of initial surface Σ_0 moves in the normal direction with speed equal to

$$\frac{< r, e_n >}{< \nu_{s_0}, e_n >< \nu_{s_0}, r >} K + O(t^{1/2}).$$

For all $t > 0$ denote $u(x,t)$ the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty) \\ u(.,0) = u_0(.) =< \nu, r > \delta_{\Sigma_0}(.) \quad \text{on } \Sigma_0 \times \{t=0\}, \end{cases}$$

With use of the same notations from the previous section, we denote the unit normal to the surface with ν_{s_0} at $s_0 \in \Sigma_0$ and select v_{s_0} such that

$$s = s_0 + t v_{s_0} \nu_{s_0} \in \Sigma_t.$$

Then the following result holds:

Theorem 4.1.

$$v_{s_0} = \frac{< r, e_n >}{< \nu_{s_0}, e_n >< \nu_{s_0}, r >} K + O(t^{1/2}) \quad \textit{as } t \to 0,$$

where K is the mean curvature (computed with respect to ν_{s_0}) at $s_0 \in \Sigma_0$.

Proof. We may assume $s_0 = 0 = (0, \dots, 0) \in \Sigma_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ without losing the generality. Then at fixed time $t > 0$ we have

$$\nabla_r u(s,t) = 0.$$

More precisely,

$$0 = < \nabla u(s,t), r >= \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{(n-1)/2}} \int\limits_{\Sigma_0} < x - t v_{s_0} \nu_{s_0}, r > \mathrm{e}^{-|x - t v_{s_0} \nu_{s_0}|^2 / 4t} u_0(x) d\sigma_t.$$

Consider Σ_0 as the graph $(x', \gamma(x'))$ of smooth function $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ and write

$$0 = \int\limits_{\Sigma_t'} < \big((x', \gamma(x')) - t v_{s_0} \nu_{s_0}\big), r > \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|((x',\gamma(x')) - t v_{s_0} \nu_{s_0}|^2}{4t}} u_0((x', \gamma(x'))) \sqrt{1 + |\nabla \gamma(x')|^2}\, dx'.$$

Now substitute $y' = t^{-1/2} x'$ and note that

$$u_0\Big(t^{1/2} y', \gamma(t^{1/2} y')\Big) = u_0(0) + O(t^{1/2}|y'|),$$

$$|\nabla \gamma(t^{1/2} y')|^2 = |\nabla \gamma(0')|^2 + O(t^{1/2}|y'|).$$

Then we obtain

$$0 = \int\limits_{t^{-1/2}\Sigma'_t} < \big((t^{1/2}y', \gamma(t^{1/2}y')) - tv_{s_0}\nu_{s_0}\big), r > \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|((t^{1/2}y',\gamma(t^{1/2}y')) - tv_{s_0}\nu_{s_0}|^2}{4t}} dy' + O(\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha/2t}). \quad (10)$$

Taylor development gives

$$\gamma(t^{1/2}y') = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{x_i}(0')t^{1/2}y_i + O(t^{1/2}|y'|^3),$$

hence the argument of the exponential in (4) becomes

$$\frac{|((t^{1/2}y', \gamma(t^{1/2}y')) - tv_{s_0}\nu_{s_0}|^2}{4t} = \frac{|(t^{1/2}y', \gamma(t^{1/2}y'))|^2}{4t} + O(t)$$

$$= \frac{|y'|^2 + |\gamma(t^{1/2}y'))|^2}{t} + O(t) = (\delta_{ij} + \gamma_{x_i}(0')\gamma_{x_j}(0'))y_iy_j + O(t).$$

Since the matrix consisting of

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \gamma_{x_i}(0')\gamma_{x_j}(0'),$$

is positive definite there exists a matrix U such that $g_{ij} = (U^T)_{ih}U_{hj}$, where the left and right sub-indices denote row and column positions, respectively, in corresponding matrices. Then with the change of variable $z = Uy$ we can write (4) as

$$0 = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} < \big((t^{1/2}(U^{-1}z)', \gamma(t^{1/2}(U^{-1}z)')) - tv_{s_0}\nu_{s_0}\big), r > \mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/4}|U^{-1}|\, dz' + O(\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha/2t})$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \big(\gamma(t^{1/2}(U^{-1}z)')r_n - tv_{s_0} < \nu_{s_0}, r > \big)\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/4}|U^{-1}|\, dz' + O(\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha/2t}),$$

as $t \to 0$. Further expanding function γ, we obtain

$$0 = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Big(r_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{x_i}(0')t^{1/2}U^{-1}_{ih}z_h + \frac{r_n}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{x_ix_j}(0')tU^{-1}_{ih}z_hU^{-1}_{jk}z_k - tv_{s_0} < \nu_{s_0}, r > \Big) \quad (11)$$
$$\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/4}|U^{-1}|\, dz' + O(t^{3/2}).$$

The first order term in (4) vanishes due to the Euclidean symmetry. The second order term with $h \neq k$ also vanishes. Hence we are left with

$$0 = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Big(\frac{r_n}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{x_ix_j}(0')tU^{-1}_{ih}U^{-1}_{jh}|z_h|^2 - tv_{s_0} < \nu_{s_0}, r > \Big)\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/4}|U^{-1}|\, dz' + O(t^{3/2}) =$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Big(r_n \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{x_ix_j}(0')tU^{-1}_{ih}U^{-1}_{jh} - tv_{s_0} < \nu_{s_0}, r > \Big)\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^2/4}|U^{-1}|\, dz' + O(t^{3/2}).$$

Since

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{x_i x_j}(0') U_{ih}^{-1} U_{jh}^{-1} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \Big(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\gamma_{x_i}(0')\gamma_{x_j}(0')}{1+|\nabla\gamma(0')|^2}\Big)\gamma_{x_i x_j},$$

the integral in (4) boils down to

$$0 = r_n\Big(\Delta\gamma(0') - \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{x_i}(0')\gamma_{x_j}(0')\gamma_{x_i x_j}(0')}{1+|\nabla\gamma(0')|^2}\Big) - v_{s_0} < \nu_{s_0}, r > + O(t^{1/2}),$$

which implies

$$v_{s_0} = \frac{< r, e_n > (1+|\nabla\gamma(0')|^2)^{1/2}}{< r, \nu_{s_0} >} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla\gamma(0')}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\gamma(0')|^2}}\right) + O(t^{1/2}),$$

as $t \to 0$. □

Corollary 4.1.1. *If $r = e_n$ then*

$$v_{s_0} = (1+|\nabla\gamma(s_0')|^2)\ div\left(\frac{\nabla\gamma(s_0')}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\gamma(s_0')|^2}}\right) + O(t^{1/2}), \quad as\ t \to 0,$$

for all $s_0 \in \Sigma_0$.

Proof. Straightforward computation from Theorem 4.1. □

Corollary 4.1.2. *If $r = \nu_{s_0}$ then*

$$v_{s_0} = div\left(\frac{\nabla\gamma(s_0')}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\gamma(s_0')|^2}}\right) + O(t^{1/2}), \quad as\ t \to 0,$$

for all $s_0 \in \Sigma_0$.

Proof. Straightforward computation from Theorem 4.1. □

5 Main result

We provide here the proof of Theorem 1.1, which follows in the same way as in the proof of [9, Theorem 2] with the only change of the corresponding evolution equation.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We only have to show that (1.2) holds for $\lambda = 1$. For this purpose, by following [16, Theorem 5.1] and [9, Theorem 2], we define for $t > 0$ and $f \in B$ that

$$\gamma_t := \big(I + t^{-1}(I - H(t))\big)^{-1} f \quad \text{and} \quad A_t\gamma := \frac{\gamma - H(t)\gamma}{t}.$$

Due to [16, Theorem 2.3] $-A$ is non dissipative and thus so $-A_t$ is, implying for all $x, y \in \Sigma_t$ and $t > 0$ that

$$\sup_{x\in\Sigma_t} \big|\gamma_t(yx) - \gamma_t(x)\big| \leq \sup_{x\in\Sigma_t} \big|f(yx) - f(x)\big|. \tag{12}$$

Note that (5) results in a bounded and equicontinuous family $\{\gamma_t\}_{t\in(0,1]}$. Therefore Arzelà-Ascoli theorem [2, 3] is valid.

Let $\phi \in C^\infty(\Sigma_t')$ such that $\gamma_t - \phi$ has a positive maximum at x_0'. We can always assume that the maximum is strict, adding a suitable power of the gauge distance if it is needed, as for example in [8]. Since $\gamma_{t_k} \to \gamma$ uniformly on compact sets then one can find a sequence of points $x_k' \to x_0'$ as $k \to \infty$ such that $\gamma_{t_k} - \phi$ has a positive maximum at x_k' and

$$(H(t_k)\gamma_{t_k})(x_k') - (H(t_k)\phi)(x_k') \leq \gamma_{t_k}(x_k') - \phi(x_k'), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad A_{t_k}\phi(x_k') \leq A_{t_k}\gamma_{t_k}(x_k').$$

Since $\gamma_t + A_t\gamma_t = f$ then

$$\gamma_{t_k}(x_k') + \frac{\phi(x_k') - (H(t_k)\phi)(x_k')}{t_k} \leq f(x_k'). \tag{13}$$

□

We find from (5) via Corollary 4.1.1 (if we replace f with ϕ) that

$$\gamma_{t_k}(x_k') - \sqrt{1+|\nabla\gamma(x_k')|^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \Big(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\phi_{x_i}(x_k')\phi_{x_j}(x_k')}{1+|\nabla\phi(x_k')|^2}\Big)\phi_{x_ix_j}(x_k') + o(1) \leq f(x_k').$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ we establish that γ is a weak sub-solution of (3) with $\lambda = 1$. Through the same reasoning one can prove that γ is also a sup-solution and it completes the proof.

References

[1] Altschuler, S. J., M. A. Grayson, et al. (1991). *Shortening space curves and flow through singularities.* Institute for Mathematics and its Applications (USA).

[2] Arzelà, C. (1895). Sulle funzioni di linee. *Mem. Accad. Sci. Bologna (5) 5*, 225–244.

[3] Ascoli, G. (1884). Le curve limite di una varietà data di curve. *Atti della R. accademia dei Lincei. Memorie della Classe di scienze fisiche, matematiche e naturali 3*, 521–586.

[4] Barles, G. and C. Georgelin (1995). A simple proof of convergence for an approximation scheme for computing motions by mean curvature. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 32*(2), 484–500.

[5] Biton, S. (2001). Nonlinear monotone semigroups and viscosity solutions. In *Annales de l'IHP Analyse non linéaire*, Volume 18, pp. 383–402.

[6] Brakke, K. A. (2015). *The Motion of a Surface by Its Mean Curvature.(MN-20).* Princeton University Press.

[7] Brezis, H. and A. Pazy (1972). Convergence and approximation of semigroups of nonlinear operators in banach spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis 9*(1), 63–74.

[8] Capogna, L. and G. Citti (2009). Generalized mean curvature flow in carnot groups. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations 34*(8), 937–956.

[9] Capogna, L., G. Citti, and C. S. G. Magnani. Sub-riemannian heat kernels and mean curvature flow of graphs. *Journal of Functional Analysis 264*(8), 1899–1928.

[10] Chen, Y. G., Y. Giga, S. Goto, et al. (1991). Uniqueness and existence of viscosity solutions of generalized mean curvature flow equations. *J. Differential Geom 33*(3), 749–786.

[11] Citti, G. and A. Sarti (2006). A cortical based model of perceptual completion in the roto-translation space. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision 24*(3), 307–326.

[12] Crandall, M. G., L. C. Evans, and P.-L. Lions (1984). Some properties of viscosity solutions of hamilton-jacobi equations. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 282*(2), 487–502.

[13] Crandall, M. G. and T. M. Liggett (1971). Generation of semi-groups of nonlinear transformations on general banach spaces. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 265–298.

[14] Ecker, K. and G. Huisken (1989). Mean curvature evolution of entire graphs. *Annals of Mathematics*, 453–471.

[15] Evans, L. C. (1987). Nonlinear semigroup theory and viscosity solutions of hamilton-jacobi pde. In *Nonlinear Semigroups, Partial Differential Equations and Attractors*, pp. 63–77. Springer.

[16] Evans, L. C. (1993). Convergence of an algorithm for mean curvature motion. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal 42*(2), 533–557.

[17] Evans, L. C., J. Spruck, et al. (1991). Motion of level sets by mean curvature i. *J. Diff. Geom 33*(3), 635–681.

[18] Gage, M. and R. S. Hamilton (1986). The heat equation shrinking convex plane curves. *Journal of Differential Geometry 23*(1), 69–96.

[19] Gerhard, H. and P. Alexander (1999). Geometric evolution equations for hypersurfaces. In *Calculus of variations and geometric evolution problems*, pp. 45–84. Springer.

[20] Giga, Y. (2006). *Surface evolution equations*. Springer.

[21] Goto, Y., K. Ishii, and T. Ogawa (2002). *Method of the Distance Function to the Bence-Merriman-Osher Algorithm for Motion of Mean Curvature*. Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University.

[22] Grayson, M. A. (1987). The heat equation shrinks embedded plane curves to round points. *Journal of Differential geometry 26*(2), 285–314.

[23] Huisken, G. et al. (1984). *Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres*. Australian National University, Centre for Mathematical Analysis.

[24] Ishii, H. (1995). A generalization of the bence, merriman and osher algorithm for motion by mean curvature. *Curvature flows and related topics (Levico, 1994) 5*, 111–127.

[25] Ishii, H. and P.-L. Lions (1990). Viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear second-order elliptic partial differential equations. *Journal of Differential equations 83*(1), 26–78.

[26] Ishii, H., G. E. Pires, P. E. Souganidis, et al. (1999). Threshold dynamics type approximation schemes for propagating fronts. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan 51*(2), 267–308.

[27] Juutinen, P., P. Lindqvist, and J. J. Manfredi (2001). On the equivalence of viscosity solutions and weak solutions for a quasi-linear equation. *SIAM journal on mathematical analysis 33*(3), 699–717.

[28] Leoni, F. (2001). Convergence of an approximation scheme for curvature-dependent motions of sets. *SIAM journal on numerical analysis 39*(4), 1115–1131.

[29] Lu, C., Y. Cao, and D. Mumford (2002). Surface evolution under curvature flows. *Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation 13*(1), 65–81.

[30] Merriman, B., J. K. Bence, and S. Osher (1992). *Diffusion generated motion by mean curvature.* Department of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles.

[31] Osher, S. and J. A. Sethian (1988). Fronts propagating with curvature-dependent speed: algorithms based on hamilton-jacobi formulations. *Journal of computational physics 79*(1), 12–49.

[32] Schauder, J. (1934a). Numerische abschätzungen in elliptischen linearen differentialgleichungen. *Studia Mathematica 1*(5), 34–42.

[33] Schauder, J. (1934b). Über lineare elliptische differentialgleichungen zweiter ordnung. *Mathematische Zeitschrift 38*(1), 257–282.

[34] Sobolev, S. *Some applications of functional analysis in mathematical physics.*

[35] Sobolev, S. L. (1963). On a theorem of functional analysis. *Am. Math. Soc. Transl. 34*, 39–68.

[36] Vivier, L. et al. (2000). Convergence of an approximation scheme for computing motions with curvature dependent velocities. *Differential and Integral Equations 13*(10-12), 1263–1288.